X International Voevodsky Conference "Physics and Chemistry of Elementary Chemical Processes" September 5-9, 2022, Novosibirsk, Russia

022



## New approaches to determination of the quantum yield of hydroxyl radical generation and its reactivity with emerging contaminants

Ivan P. Pozdnyakov, Yuliya E. Tyutereva, Mikhail V. Novikov, Olga A. Snytnikova, Vyacheslav P. Grivin, Victor F. Plyusnin

Voevodsky Institute of Chemical Kinetics and Combustion SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation; Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation International Tomography Center SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

#### **Emerging Pollutants (EPs) and Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs)**



Task: destruction and mineralization of Eps **Problem: Bio stability Chemical stability** Solvation – AOPs: (photo)catalysis photolysis **Ozonation** Chlorination

Key intermediates: h<sup>+</sup> •OH radical Cl• radical SO<sub>4</sub>•- radical 2

#### Fe(III) species in Environmental Photochemistry and AOPs

Generation of ROS (•OH, HO<sub>2</sub>• and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) capable to effective mineralization of organic substances

 $FeOH^{2+} + hv \longrightarrow Fe(II) + OH$ 

 $Fe(II) + H_2O_2 = Fe(III) + OH + OH$ Fenton system, pH 3

(pH 3, model system,  $\phi(\text{OH}, 300\text{-}370 \text{ nm}) = 0.2 - 0.07)$ 



L – aliphatic acid (oxalate, tartrate, citrate..), neutral pH

**φ**(•OH, 308 nm) = 0.25 (oxalate)

### Application of Fe(III) carboxylates in AOPs: What we know and have to know

- 1) Charge transfer absorption bands in the region of UV sunlight
- 2) Photooxidation of wide range of emerging contaminants:
  - Herbicides
  - Phenols and Bisphenols
  - Pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCP)
  - Dyes, etc.
- 3) pH working range from 3 to 7 (depends on stability constants)
- 4) Main oxidative agent •OH radical (spin traps, product analysis)
- 5) Reactivity of •OH radical with target compounds (rate constant  $k_{OH}$ ; important to estimate efficiency of photooxidation in real conditions)
- 6) Quantum yield ( $\phi_{OH}$ ) of •OH radical generation (efficiency of a photosystem, 4 possibility of its practical application)

#### **Goals:**

- Simple and affordable approach to the determination of  $\phi_{OH}$  values during UV photolysis of natural Fe(III) carboxylate complexes
- Reliable method for direct determination of rate constants of hydroxyl radical (*k*<sub>OH</sub>) reactions with target compounds

#### **Methods:**

- Nanosecond laser flash photolysis
  - Nd:YAG laser,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 355 nm,  $\lambda_{reg}$  = 320 760 nm,  $\tau \approx$  6 ns
- Steady-state photolysis
  - Exilamp XeCl,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 308 nm,  $I_0 \approx 0.15$  J/min
  - Hg lamp DRSh-500,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 365 nm,  $I_0 \approx 1.2$  J/min
- High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) Agilent LC 1200







## Determination of $\phi_{OH}$ upon UV photolysis of natural Fe(III) carboxylate complexes: idea

- Reliable reference system  $[FeOH]^{2+} -hv \rightarrow Fe(II) + OH^{\bullet} \quad \phi(\bullet OH, 308 \text{ nm}) = 0.2; \phi(\bullet OH, 365 \text{ nm}) = 0.074$
- Simple, cheap and accessible analytical method

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

Selective trap for hydroxyl radical

benzene does not react with other ROS, except for the •OH

 $OH^{\bullet} + C_6H_6 \rightarrow C_6H_6(OH^{\bullet}), \ k_{OH} = 7.8 \times 10^9 M^{-1}s^{-1}$ 

 $C_6H_6(OH^{\bullet}) \rightarrow phenol\,(270\,nm), = 53\%$ 

simple calculations, possibility to avoid source intensity calibration

$$\varphi_{OH} = \varphi_{OH} \left( [FeOH]^{2+} \right) \frac{k_{obs}(Fe - Ox)I_{abs}([FeOH]^{2+})}{k_{obs}([FeOH]^{2+})I_{abs}(Fe - Ox)}$$

## Determination of $\phi_{OH}$ upon UV photolysis of natural Fe(III) carboxylate complexes: methodology



# Checking the applicability of the method for determining $\phi_{\rm OH}$ on reference system



- Good agreement between independent measurements with literature data
- The 15-20% difference may be due to:
  Higher % conversion of benzene to phenol
  C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>(OH<sup>●</sup>) + Fe(III) → phenol + Fe(II) + H<sup>+</sup>

Independent definition of the •OH radical quantum yield in the [Fe(OH)]<sup>2+</sup> system  $\varphi_{OH} = \frac{k_{obs}}{\eta I_{abs}}$ This work:

**φ(•OH, 308 nm) = 0.23 ± 0.04** 

φ(•OH, 365 nm) = 0.09 ± 0.01

#### Literature:

φ(•OH, 308 nm) = 0.2 ± 0.03

 $\phi$ (•OH, 365 nm) = 0.074 ± 0%015

#### $\phi_{OH}$ (308 nm, pH 6.5): influence of [Fe(III)] and [Oxalate]



#### $\phi_{OH}$ (308 nm): influence of pH



Quantum yield increases about 20% with decreasing of pH from 6.5 to 3.2

- Equilibrium between  $HO_2^{\bullet}$  and  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  radicals (pK<sub>a</sub> = 4.8)
- Shift of equilibrium from Fe(Ox)<sub>3</sub><sup>3-</sup> to more photoactive form Fe(Ox)<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>

### $\phi_{OH}$ (308 nm): influence of irradiation wavelength

λ, nm	254	282	308	365
Ф <sub>ОН</sub>	$0.28 \pm 0.04$	$0.22 \pm 0.04$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$	$0.22 \pm 0.03$

•  $\phi_{OH}$  only slightly decreased with increasing of excitation wavelength

 quantum yield of Fe(III)-oxalate photolysis is also practically independent on the excitation wavelength in the range of 270 – 430 nm and increases by less than 15% (from 1.24 to 1.4) at lower wavelengths

### Determination of rate constants of •OH radical reaction (*k*<sub>OH</sub>) with target compounds

#### Literature approaches:

Steady-state photolysis (competitive method with HPLC detection)

- $S + OH \longrightarrow k_{OH}^{S}; \qquad X + OH \longrightarrow k_{OH}^{X}$
- «+» simple realization, accessible analytical method
- «-» relative method (k<sub>OH</sub><sup>X</sup>/k<sub>OH</sub><sup>S</sup>);
  X and S doesn't absorb excitation light
  Absence of reactions between primary species and X/S

Pulse radiolysis or flash photolysis (intermediate absorption)

- «+» direct detection of •OH radical reaction with X
- «-» rather sophisticated and rare methods In the case of FP high •OH quantum yield and absence of photochemical activity of X are important requirements Intermediate X-•OH have to absorb in proper range of wavelengths

### Nanosecond laser flash photolysis technique



Recorded signal – change in sample absorption at a selected wavelength ( $\Delta A$ )

# Methylviologen dication as prospective •OH radical trap in time-resolved experiments





Properties of MV(•OH)<sup>2+</sup>

 $-\lambda_{max}$  = 470 nm

 $-\epsilon_{max} = 16000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ 

S. Solar, W. Solar, N. Getoff, J. Holcman, K. Sehested: J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 81, 1101 (1985) 14

# Organic herbicides as model target contaminants to check reactivity of •OH radical



4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butyric acid 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid [(3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridinyl)oxy]acetic acid

- No own absorption at 355 nm
- Stable in the presence of Fe(III), no complexation with Fe(III) ions
- X(•OH) adducts have no absorption at 470 nm

#### Kinetics of MV(•OH) formation in presence of X



#### Determination of $k_{OH}$ values with target compounds



Good linear dependence k<sub>obs</sub> from initial herbicide concentration

• Good precision (10-15%) of  $k_{OH}$  determination for target compounds

### Comparison of $k_{OH}$ values with literature results

	Amitrol	Atrazine	2,4-DB	MSM	2,4,5-T	Triclopyr
рКа	4.14	1.6	2.6	3.75	2.7	2.7
рН	<b>2.9</b> 3, 7	<b>2.9</b> , 3.0, 3.6	<b>2.9</b> 2.5, 9.0	<b>2.9</b> , 3.4	<b>2.9</b> , 8.5	<b>2.9</b> , 7.0
<b>к<sub>он</sub><sup>х</sup>×10</b> -9	0.47	3.5	5.0	2.5	6.3	1.4
k <sub>он</sub> х×10⁻ <sup>9</sup> (lit)	0.36; 0.57	2.9, 2.6	5.2; 6.6	3.5	6.4	1.7
Method	SSP, SSP	SSP, SSP	PR, PR	LFP	PR	ozonation

SSP – steady-state photolysis, LFP – laser flash photolysis, PR – pulse radiolysis

- Good agreement with literature values
- Results at pH 3 should be carefully extrapolated to higher pH values



## Conclusions

- Simple and reliable method for the determination of \u03c6<sub>OH</sub> values during the photolysis of natural iron carboxylate complexes is proposed.
- It is shown that the most popular Fe(III) oxalate system demonstrates high values of \(\phi\_{OH}\), which are practically constant in a wide range of pH, excitation wavelengths and initial concentrations of iron and oxalate ions.
- An express method for determining the rate constants of the reaction of •OH with organic pollutants is proposed:
- "+" One-wavelength technique, good for "optically silent" X(•OH)
- "+" good precision of k<sub>OH</sub> values due to high sensitivity
- "-" doesn't work for X("OH) with absorption at 470 nm
- "-" results at pH 3 can be inappropriate at higher pH values



### Фотодеградация органических гербицидов в системе Fe(III) – оксалат

 $10^{2} \times \phi_{OH}([FeOH]^{2+}) = 20; 10^{2} \times \phi_{OH}([FeOx] = 25; \phi_{OH}([FeOx]/\phi_{OH}([FeOH]^{2+}) = 1.25))$ 

Соединение	10²×q <sub>degr</sub> ([FeOH]²+)	10²×φ <sub>degr</sub> (Fe-Ox)	φ <sub>degr</sub> (Fe-Ox) / φ <sub>degr</sub> ([FeOH] <sup>2+</sup> )
2,4-DB	12 ± 2	16 ± 3	1.33 ± 0.1
2,4,5-T	13 ± 2	17 ± 3	1.31 ± 0.1
Triclopyr	16 ± 3	17 ± 3	1.06 ± 0.06
Dicamba	14 ± 3	18 ± 4	1.29 ± 0.1
Atrazine	9.5 ± 2	10 ± 2	1.05 ± 0.04

- Концентрации гербицидов (≤ 0.1 мМ) не хватает для полного перехвата гидроксильных радикалов
- В ходе фотолиза происходит 20-30% конверсия гербицидов в фотопродукты, конкурирующие за ОН радикал 20
- Влияние других АФК на фотодеградацию гербицидов незначительно

Системы генерации •ОН радикала при нейтральном рН

$$H_2O_2 - hv \rightarrow 2 \ OH^{\bullet}; \ \varphi(OH^{\bullet}, 308 \ nm) = 0.8$$
 (1)

$$S_2 O_8^{2-} - h\nu \rightarrow 2 SO_4^{-\bullet}; \ \varphi \left(SO_4^{-\bullet}, 308 \text{ nm}\right) = 0.55$$
 (2)

 $SO_4^{-\bullet} + OH^- \rightarrow OH^{\bullet} + SO_4^{2-} \text{ (pH > 9)}$ 

 $NO_2^- + H_2O - hv \rightarrow OH^- + OH^{\bullet} + NO; \ \varphi(OH^{\bullet} \ 308 \ nm) = 0.07 \ (3)$ 

 $NO_3^- - hv \rightarrow OH^* + NO_2; \ \varphi(OH^* \ 302 \ nm) = 0.014$  (4)

#### Ограничения существующих систем:

- Слабое поглощение/отсутствие поглощения в ближнем УФ (1-4)
- Генерация других активных радикалов (1, 3)
- Генерация ОН радикала только в щелочной среде (2)
- Низкие квантовые выходы фотолиза (3, 4)

Образование АФК при фотолизе комплекса [Fe(Ox)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>

- $[Fe(Ox)]_{3}^{3-} \longrightarrow [Fe(II)(Ox)]_{2}^{2-} + Ox^{\bullet-} \qquad \phi = 0.6 \qquad (1)$
- $\mathbf{CO}_2^{\bullet\bullet} + \mathbf{O}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{CO}_2 + \mathbf{O}_2^{\bullet\bullet} \qquad \qquad k_3 = 2.4 \times 10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \qquad (3)$
- $CO_{2}^{-\bullet} + [Fe(Ox)]_{3}^{3-} \to CO_{2} + [Fe(II)(Ox)]_{2}^{2-} + Ox^{2-} \quad k_{4} \approx 8 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (4)
- $Fe(II) + O_2^{-\bullet} + 2H^+ \longrightarrow H_2O_2 + Fe(III) \qquad k_5 = 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (5)
- $Fe(II) + H_2O_2 \longrightarrow OH + Fe(III) + OH \qquad k_6 = 63 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \tag{6}$
- $Fe(II) + {}^{\bullet}OH \longrightarrow Fe(III) + {}^{\circ}OH \qquad \qquad k_7 = 4.3 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1} \tag{7}$

•OH + Ox<sup>2-</sup>  $\longrightarrow$  Ox<sup>-•</sup> + <sup>-</sup>OH  $k_8 = 7.7 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  (8) В ходе фотолиза происходит постоянная регенерация ионов

Fe(III), окисление лиганда и образование АФК

22